

19 September 2019 EMA/CHMP/790261/2018 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

Alectinib hard capsule 150 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Draft Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	October 2018
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	31 January 2019
Start of public consultation	8 February 2019
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	30 June 2019
Draft Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	September 2019
Adopted by CHMP	19 September 2019
Date of coming into effect	1 April 2020

Keywords	Bioequivalence, generics, alectinib
----------	-------------------------------------



Alectinib hard capsule 150 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

B. Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (PKWP)*

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III Neither of the two Background: Alectinib is considered a low solubility compound.
Bioequivalence study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☑ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed
	Strength: 150 mg
	Background: This is the only available strength.
	Number of studies: One

Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	⊠ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-72h} , C _{max}
	90% confidence interval: 80.00- 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability ($CV_{intra} > 30$ %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary (BCS Class I and III), the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. *in vitro* dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).